

Feedback

Devy Kidd
February 25, 2008

Report Election Fraud or Irregularities:

Questions regarding election fraud should be reported to the DC Board of Elections and Ethics at phone number: (202) 727-2525.

If you would like to notify the DC Republican Party of any possible election fraud, please email is at: info@dcgop.com or call us at: (202) 289-8005.

* * * *

This is feed back from Americans in different states. It is just a small sampling of the hundreds of emails I received about the mess, again, during these primaries that have already taken place.

I'm using first names only and deleting email addresses to protect the privacy of these Americans trying to get the truth out about the pretend primary earlier this month.

Washington State
February 23, 2008 from Dale

It looks like a movement is afoot to silently and stealthily remove any evidence that Ron Paul did well in any of the major caucuses and primaries. The latest incident that has taken place in the State of Washington takes the cake. It seems that it was too much to even show that Ron Paul came out on top at a local caucus election (Spokane) held on Feb 9. The State of Washington has come out with NEW FIGURES that awarded John McCain with 45% of the caucus vote in Spokane and dropped Ron Paul all the way from 1st to 4th place.

Dale

--- Forwarded Message ---

BEFORE (as reported by KHQ.com - **revised as of Feb 15**).

Spokane Republican Caucus Results:
With 60% of the precincts reporting -

Ron Paul45%,
Mike Huckabee20%,
John McCain17%,
Mitt Romney..... 9%,
Uncommitted9%.

<http://www.khq.com/Global/story.asp?S=7847796>

AFTER (as reported by the State of WA as of **February 22, 2008** 5:07 pm)

John McCain 45.70%
Mike Huckabee 21.75%
Mitt Romney 18.68%
Ron Paul 11.50%
Others 2.44%

<http://vote.wa.gov/elections/wei/ResultsByCounty.aspx?RaceID=9206&CountyCode=%20&ElectionID=0&RaceTypeCode=O>

Also from Dale, **February 11, 2008**

Devy,

According to a fellow nicknamed corbader who attended the Washington State caucus, 20% of the votes cast in that state are being thrown out because the ballot envelopes weren't marked Republican.

<http://pagehype.com/wordpress/?p=33>

According to Corbader, KHQ-TV originally reported that Ron Paul was far ahead of the other candidates throughout the entire state after 40% of the ballots had been counted and then, abruptly, modified the site's text so that Paul's lead only reflected his standing in the Spokane caucus. Unfortunately, Corbader's blog doesn't include documented proof of what the KHQ-TV web page looked like before the switch.

I found an article in The Columbian newspaper that mentions wins for Barack Obama and Ron Paul in Clark County, WA.

http://www.columbian.com/news/localNews/2008/02/02092008_Obama-Paul-win-in-Clark-County.cfm

I also found unofficial reports of the delegate numbers in two Congressional Districts in WA (Districts 34 and 43)

District 34

McCain - 66
Paul - 44
Huckabee - 40
Romney - 15
Thompson - 1
Uncommitted - 26

District 43 Provisional Results

Huckabee 17 delegates
McCain 48 delegates

Paul 62 delegates
Romney 7 delegates
Uncommitted 11 delegates

<http://washington4ron.blogspot.com/2008/02/34th-district-delegate-report.html>

From Dale, **February 11, 2008**:

Luke Esser, Chairman for the Washington State Republican Party, issued a press release on February 9 announcing John McCain as the winner of the state caucus prior to completion of the vote count. Blog posters assert that Mr. Esser should have been cited for ethics violations when he did not immediately relinquish his post as an attorney in the Attorney General's (Rob McKenna) office when he was elected chairman of the state GOP in January 2007.

Press release: <http://wsrp.org/News/Read.aspx?ID=7043>

Blog: <http://www.washblog.com/story/2007/1/30/124336/516>

Latest vote count:

Statewide Results 2008 Precinct Caucuses:

Saturday, February 09, 2008

Last Updated at: 10:55 PM

Precincts Reporting: 93.3 %

Candidates Percentage

Huckabee 23.8 %

McCain 25.4 %

Paul 20.7 %

Romney 16.7 %

Other 1.0 %

Uncommitted 12.3 %

<http://wsrp.org/News/Read.aspx?ID=7042>

* * * *

Colorado - February 5, 2008 - 9:40 pm

Subject: Eye witness report of ballot stuffing in Colo. caucus

<http://www.bbvforums.org/cgi-bin/forums/show.cgi?73/71718>

From Bev Harris, Black Box Voting

<http://www.blackboxvoting.org>

Bev Harris
Board Administrator

Posted on Tuesday, February 5, 2008 - 12:14 pm:

There are now anecdotal reports on other Web sites that voting machines were not delivered on time throughout Los Angeles. Because Los Angeles has a very heavy ethnic population, such tactics are of great concern. Unless they plan to count by hand at the polling place -- and they do not -- these tactics serve to centralize vote counting and introduce beastly new chain of custody and procedural issues.

Los Angeles has an especially heavy ethnic component to its voting population, which may affect one candidate disproportionately.

Posted on Tuesday, February 5, 2008 - 12:30 pm:

Teri Berry
Voting Rights Forum Participant
Username: Teri9543

Bev, I just voted in Ramona (San Diego county). It was a paper ballot which was put directly into a box via the slit on the side. I had seen your video on the seal used on the boxes and went over to the box after my ballot was placed in there and easily peeled down the seal to the horror of the workers there. It is indeed a post-it note seal! What a joke!

Bev Harris
Board Administrator

Posted on Tuesday, February 5, 2008 - 1:39 pm:

Wait a minute - San Diego uses Diebold optical scanners, doesn't it? Or did San Diego go to central count? This stinks to high heaven -- between Sacramento ("testing inconsistencies in op-scan causing them to bring ballots in for central count), Los Angeles (machines 'not working' and 'not delivered on time' causing them to put ballots in boxes for central count), and now San Diego reports (putting it in a ballot box, not running it through a scanner at the polling place) -- It sounds like California is going to be one big central count game.

Which means every bit of what we just did in New Hampshire applies directly to everywhere in California where they start toting ballots around tonight.

And they're using the Post-It seals.

Now check this out: Polling place ballot box secured only by a paper clip (paper clip is stuck into a yellow thing) - and it's sitting within arm's reach by a poll worker's feet.

Jody Holly
Voting Rights Forum Participant
Username: Jody126
Post Number: 3
Registered: 1-2008

Posted on Tuesday, February 5, 2008 - 9:07 pm:

I registered a complaint with the California Secretary of State's voter fraud department and she said there have been complaints from throughout the state. Let's see what happens. I hope the MSM does not start projecting (sic) the winners in California. This State is a MESS!

Bev Harris
Board Administrator

Posted on Tuesday, February 5, 2008 - 9:51 pm:

Yep, they called it already. The media likes to call the race before all the numbers are in, then say they're all done reporting as the glitches and mismatched reconciliations arrive.

<http://www.bbvforums.org/cgi-bin/forums/board-auth.cgi?file=/73/71691.html>

Posted on Tuesday, February 5, 2008 - 9:17 pm:
Catherine Ansbro
Frequent Voting Rights Forum Participant
Username: Catherine_a

Post Number: 4588
Registered: 12-2004

Shannon, thanks for that link.

A brief excerpt of the various NY voting problems reported:

In Colonie, a suburb of Albany, Republican candidate Ron Paul's name had apparently been mistakenly removed from the ballots.

This is pretty creepy. Is it just me or has there been a lot of various kinds of suppression of Ron Paul's vote in various places?

You can copy and paste your post to the NY forum as well.

<http://www.bbvforums.org/cgi-bin/forums/discus.cgi?pg=next&topic=73&page=71691>

* * * * *

Nevada

Ron Paul Is Beating McCain By 192 Votes In Nevada

<http://www.prisonplanet.com/articles/february2008/180208Nevada.htm>

* * * *

FYI - NV WILL NOT RELEASE PRECINCT RESULTS

<http://www.dailypaul.com/node/31126>

Posted January 30th, 2008 by firsttobecool

Just so everyone knows, NV GOP WILL NOT release any of the official results from last week. In other words, they have asked us to 'take their word for it.' I just got off fo the phone with them and the NV SOS who has zero influence on this matter.

Do we grasp what this means? The results were released only by county and all of the great care that went into watching and protecting the votes at the High Schools did little to nothing to protect the outcome.

Was there fraud? I will say they are innocent until proven guilty. But, if we can't even have results counted in the open.... honestly...

The local campaign has gained a little headway in getting some numbers.... but the more time they have, the less accurate the results will be.

J

=====

NV Caucus is completely unreliable!

On January 29th, 2008 Geeksneek says:

I was elected as a Precinct Chairperson in Washoe County, NV. We had 2 precincts (100+ people) trying to share one classroom designed for 30 kids and we only had 15-20 ballots per precinct.

We received an announcement that they were printing more but that we could just use pieces of paper if we didn't want to wait. I went to the front desk and got permission to move our precinct into an empty classroom and they again told me that we could just use paper as ballots or (even worse) that we could use scraps of paper and just write the name of the candidate you want and drop it in the envelope, they then proceeded to give me old flyers to tear in half and use the back for voting. There were dozens of people up there getting the same instructions for their precincts and rummaging for scrap paper. I told them I wanted official ballots, then the ladies went through some packages under the table and came up with about 100 more official ballots and just handed them to me, no record, no accountability, no chain of custody, I could have done anything I wanted with those. (I could have collected all

the voting ballots in my precinct by hand and then snuck my stack of ballots into the envelope instead.) They told me to "take charge and tell the other precincts to do the same thing".

We moved to a private classroom and were able to conduct a "one person-one vote" secure caucus, sealed and signed the envelope and then handed the leftover ballots to other precincts who were still searching for paper. The Secretary and I carried the envelope to the front and were instructed to hand it over to the person with the red badge. I wanted to see where the envelope was going to go but they said they were too busy right now trying to sort out the ballot mess and that this was how it was going to be. We gave him the envelope and left but there were still plenty of people scrounging for paper.

Will these scrap paper ballots count?
How do they work with an electronic vote counter?
How can we determine how many scrap paper ballots were used?
How can we authenticate if they were counted?
How could they have let this happen?
Not enough cheap paper ballots... give me a break.

This is an election for the GOP Candidate from NV for the office of President of the United States. We must demand paper ballots and public counting for ALL VOTES in NV from this day forward. Show us the results of OUR CAUCUS.

* * * *

Montana

Carl & Laura
February 15, 2008

Just fyi,

Due to Caucus "changes" which I'm not sure, but were done by a "small" group of people unilaterally, the 25 delegates Ron Paul SHOULD GET, well, he will not get.

They changed these rules to reflect a "WINNER TAKE ALL" state. Now that Romney is resigning (but who hasn't turned in paperwork yet), the entire 25 delegates should go to Ron Paul, end of story, as it would reflect the majority of caucus votes.

But, now, it appears GOP board members & certain "committees" (probably entrenched staunch GOP die-hards) ranging to about 100 people will have the "honor" of voting of WHO of the precinct people get to become 1 of the 22 delegates (as the other delegates are "super" delegates).

Now, they could shape the "delegates" by voting for known MCCAIN supporters. THAT's how Dr. Paul could lose them all. Oh, yeh, they might throw us a "token" gesture of maybe 2-3 RP delegates, but who cares. Is it fair? No. Is it wrong? Yes. Can we do anything about it? I

doubt it.

This is a SHAM, and we are outraged, as a precinct woman & man. So, don't think this will all "work out" for Dr. Paul.

ANOTHER THING, in the "notes" on the GOP website in Montana, they mention the following, kind of an "oh, by the way" statement:

".....Also, the winning candidate is allowed to release Montana's delegates to a different candidate by writing a letter to that effect to the State Chairman of the MT GOP."

How convenient! And, we wonder if OTHER STATES & their hoodlum GOP counterparts have "arranged" the same thing.

* * * *

Florida

<http://www.ronpaulwarroom.com/?p=3886>

By funmaxus | January 30, 2008

According to Florida voter registration and turnout: 3,849,892 votes were cast.

Adding the Democratic and Republican votes cast we have 3,453,073 votes, a difference of 396,819 votes.

Removing: Broward, Madison, Monroe and Orange Counties from the total vote we have 3,146,257 votes cast. A difference of 703,635 votes cast.

Please review each of the following "official" State of Florida websites.

Florida voter registration and turnout:

<http://election.dos.state.fl.us/elections/resultsarchive/enight.asp>

Republican turnout: <http://election.dos.state.fl.us/elections/resultsarchive/enight.asp>

Democratic Turnout: <http://election.dos.state.fl.us/elections/resultsarchive/enight.asp>

Note: that the results are "Unofficial Election Night Returns (may not include absentee or provisional ballots)"

Note that the Florida Voter Registration and Turnout shows Broward, Madison and Monroe

counties had zero voters appear in the election. However the Republican and Democratic turnout does show voters.

Orange County on both the Democratic and Republican totals is zero, but the election night returns shows that 209,524 votes were cast out of 508,185 registered voters. We just don't know who voted for the individual candidates.

I then created a spreadsheet. See attached.

Not one county in the entire state shows a matching total. Using the below math:

(Democratic Voter Turnout + Republican Voter Turnout) – Florida Voter Registration Turnout, yields the following numbers:

According to Florida voter registration and turnout: 3,849,892 votes were cast.

Adding the Democratic and Republican votes cast we have 3,453,073 votes, a difference of 396,819 votes.

Removing: Broward, Madison, Monroe and Orange Counties from the total vote we have 3,146,257 votes cast. A difference of 703,635 votes cast.

A sample of the worst offenders: Miami-Dade: 66,655, Palm Beach: 49,058, Pinellas: 39,223, Hillsborough: 29,745 Collier: 23,846, Lee: 23,504 Brevard: 19,757 Sarasota: 18,895, Duval: 18,486 Volusia: 17,088 Pasco: 16,417 Seminole: 13,592

Question: Why is there a difference in the vote? Did people show up to vote, and their vote didn't count according to the "official" state of Florida website results or did they decide not to vote for a candidate at all? Could someone answer this mystery?

CountyTurnoutDemocratic + Republican TurnoutDifferenceDemocratic + Republican TurnoutLess: Broward, Madison, Monroe and OrangeDifference

California, From Ron, February 6, 2008

Hi Devvy,

I am a registered Republican voter in Los Angeles. After I voted at my precinct, I went over to the Inka Vote Precinct Ballot Reader to insert my ballot. The supervisor said that there was a glitch and the ballot reader would not take my ballot. He demonstrated this by putting my ballot into the reader, but it would not go in. He told me that all the Republican ballots were being rejected by the reader and only the Democratic ballots were being accepted by the ballot reader. He then assured me that everything would be okay, as the ballots will end up at the central registrar clerks office in Norwalk.

I reported this malfunction to the Registrar's office(had to leave a message, said will call back). I also called the Ron Paul campaign chairman in my area. He told me to call the local

Republican district headquarters. I called them, and they wanted me to send them a letter stating what took place at the precinct and mail it to them. This is where it stands at the moment.

I want to also find out the results of my precinct to find out if the Republican votes were counted. Do you have any advice for me?; or am I on the right track of pursuing this problem.

* * * *

Louisiana

Exit polls explain Louisiana primaries
Blacks go for Obama, McCain makes little headway among religious voters --Associated Press

<http://www.wral.com/news/political/story/2417022/>

There is no mention of Ron Paul in this lame stream media news item as if he were not even a candidate.

From Lauren, February 19, 2008

I Need help causing a ruckus. Ron Paul should have 48 delegates from Louisiana and we can't just roll over and do nothing.

<http://www.dailypaul.com/node/38977>
Posted February 19th, 2008 by [kcopley](#)

I was an alternate delegate at the Louisiana GOP caucus (not elected) and went to the recent State GOP Convention held Saturday on 2/16/08. **We need to expose the LAGOP for blatantly stealing 48 delegates from Ron Paul and disregarding the results of the caucus.** This could be a pivotal point in the outcome of the GOP nomination.

Because we are dealing with a party the attorney for RP indicated a lawsuit was unlikely. However, he did indicate the Republican National Committee has oversight. There is a last ditch effort that can be made to the Republican National Committee regarding the infractions that the LAGOP have done and possibly overturn the results. However, they will not do anything unless we cause a ruckus and give them some "bad" press. Below are the addresses, phone numbers, and email for the Republican National Committee and Governor of Louisiana Bobby Jindal (Jindal was added because he is member of the LAGOP and just released a new reform on ethics).

Please contact both offices requesting that a fair and accurate vote count be conducted for the LAGOP Caucus.

Republican National Committee

310 First Street
Washington, D. C. 20003
p/202.863.8500
info@gop.com info@gop.com

Office of the Governor
P.O. Box 94004
Baton Rouge, LA 70804-9004
Email <http://www.managekeelson....>

It would be beneficial to explain how you feel disenfranchised from the party directly because of the Louisiana caucus, that the LAGOP should be setting an example of ethics, that any national presidential campaign that has questions regarding an accurate vote count should be able to get timely answers, that manipulating the outcome is corrupt, and that the younger voters are being turned off by the party and potentially going elsewhere.

There have been numerous posts concerning the LA Caucus and for brevity the major issue is regarding the "provisional" votes cast at the caucus. To vote in the republican caucus you had to be registered as a republican by Nov. 30, 2007. At the caucus the LAGOP used old voting records that showed many might not have met this requirement so their vote was cast as provisional. There are 650 provisional votes that the LAGOP will not release to Ron Paul's campaign to verify the numbers. Everyone that participated believes that we won ALL or at least the majority of delegates for Ron Paul if the provisional ballots are counted correctly. The LAGOP was even caught in a lie regarding the Baton Rouge District. They indicated they were checking 69 provisional votes in that District with the voter registration office. The voter registration office was contacted and indicated only 40 voter's records were being checked.

There are two other issues regarding the caucus: 1) notification of the Nov 30th deadline to become a republican and participate in the caucus was not provided 2.) When the LAGOP received a full slate of RP delegates by the deadline, they arbitrarily extended the deadline so they could submit their slate of delegates. If the deadline was not changed they would not have had enough delegates and RP would have won.

GO GET UM and THANKS (if you do contact the RNC, please post a comment indicating it was done so we can get a count and keep it going)

* * * *

South Carolina, February 3, 2008 from Dan

Lanneau,

Am I missing something?

How do you have McCain as winner in chart below:

Marion	Huckabee 636	McCain 575
--------	-----------------	---------------

Just does'nt make sense.....

DanR

From:

Subject: R's ... Marion and Marlboro County results

Date: Wed, 30 Jan 2008 22:23:30 +0000

South Carolina *Official* Results

Republican Presidential Preference Primary - January 19, 2008

MARION County

		*WINNER										
		Hugh	John	Cap	Rudy	Mike	Duncan	John	Ron	Mitt	Tom	Fred
County Name	Precinct Name	Court	Cox	Fending	Giuliani	Huckabee	Hunter	McCain	Paul	Romney	Tancredo	Thompson
MARION	BRITTON'S NECK	0	0	0	1	35	0	25	1	3	0	12
MARION	FRIENDSHIP	0	0	0	0	7	0	5	0	4	0	1
MARION	CENTENARY	0	0	0	1	18	0	14	1	6	0	9
MARION	RAINS	0	0	0	1	25	0	19	1	1	0	2
MARION	MARION NO. 1	0	0	0	3	28	0	47	3	9	0	7
MARION	MARION NO. 2	0	0	0	4	58	0	94	7	34	0	28
MARION	MARION NORTH	0	0	0	0	49	0	27	3	23	0	9
MARION	MARION SOUTH	0	0	0	2	59	1	29	1	10	0	19
MARION	MARION	0	0	0	0	37	0	36	6	9	0	8

ER

		Hugh	John	Cap	Rudy	Mike	Duncan	John	Ron	Mitt	Tom	Fred
--	--	------	------	-----	------	------	--------	------	-----	------	-----	------

County Name	Precinct Name	Cox	Cox	Fending	Giuliani	Huckabee	Hunter	McCain	Paul	Romney	Tancredo	Thompson
-------------	---------------	-----	-----	---------	----------	----------	--------	--------	------	--------	----------	----------

MARLBORO	ADAMSVILLE	0	0	0	0	14	0	6	0	3	0	2
----------	------------	---	---	---	---	----	---	---	---	---	---	---

MARLBORO	BLENHEIM	0	0	1	2	8	0	5	1	4	0	5
----------	----------	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

MARLBORO	BRIGHTSVILLE	0	0	0	0	17	0	12	0	1	0	0
----------	--------------	---	---	---	---	----	---	----	---	---	---	---

MARLBORO	BROWNSVILLE	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	3	2	0	3
----------	-------------	---	---	---	---	---	---	----	---	---	---	---

MARLBORO	RED HILL	0	0	0	0	25	0	8	0	1	0	5
----------	----------	---	---	---	---	----	---	---	---	---	---	---

MARLBORO	TATUM	0	0	0	0	6	0	5	0	0	0	3
----------	-------	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

MARLBORO	WALLACE	0	0	0	0	23	0	8	0	12	0	9
----------	---------	---	---	---	---	----	---	---	---	----	---	---

MARLBORO	QUICKS X ROADS	0	0	0	0	16	0	2	1	3	0	9
----------	----------------	---	---	---	---	----	---	---	---	---	---	---

MARLBORO	CLIO	1	0	0	0	35	0	25	0	7	0	1
----------	------	---	---	---	---	----	---	----	---	---	---	---

MARLBORO	MCCOLL	0	0	0	0	8	0	14	1	9	0	2
----------	--------	---	---	---	---	---	---	----	---	---	---	---

MARLBORO	EAST MCCOLL	0	0	0	0	18	0	9	0	5	0	3
----------	-------------	---	---	---	---	----	---	---	---	---	---	---

MARLBORO	E BENNETTSVILLE	0	0	0	0	23	0	25	8	7	0	3
----------	-----------------	---	---	---	---	----	---	----	---	---	---	---

MARLBORO	W BENNETTSVILLE	0	0	0	0	17	0	13	0	3	0	2
----------	-----------------	---	---	---	---	----	---	----	---	---	---	---

MARLBORO	S BENNETTSVILLE	0	0	0	0	4	0	1	0	1	0	0
----------	-----------------	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

	LE											
MARLBOR O	N BENNETTSVIL LE	0	0	0	5	68	0	72	5	10	0	25
MARLBOR O	ABSENTEE TOTAL	0	0	0	3	21	0	35	6	10	0	9
MARLBOR O	PROVISIONAL BALLOT	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MARLBOR O	FAIL SAFE	0	0	0	0	1	0	5	0	0	0	1
MARLBOR O	CURBSIDE/EM ERGENCY	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
MARLBOR O	FAIL SAFE CHALLENGE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MARLBOR O	Totals	1	0	1	10	305	0	255	2 5	78	0	82

* * * *

Kansas

From RumorMillNews.com:

<http://www.rumormillnews.com/cgi-bin/members/forum.cgi?read=118610>

State of Kansas

Congressional Districts 1-4

February 9, 2008

Huckabee 3,415 63%

Huckabee 2,186 56%

Huckabee 2,885 53%

Huckabee 3,141 67%

McCain 1,272 23%

McCain 982 25%

McCain 1,476 27%

McCain 857 19%

Paul 537 10%

Paul 484 12%

Paul 684 12%

Paul 477 10%

Romney 125 2%
Romney 175 5%
Romney 283 5%
Romney 70 2%

Uncommitted 32 1%
Uncommitted 15 0%
Uncommitted 27 1%
Uncommitted 10 0%

Four districts and the voting was consistent to a flaw. Each district stayed within a parameter of 6-7% without a change in position.

Huckabee averaged between 53% & 67% and never changed position.

McCain averaged between 19% & 27% and never changed position.

Paul averaged between 10 & 12 and never changed position.

Romney averaged between 2% & 5% and never changed position.

Uncommitted averaged between 0% & 1% and they remained last.